

ADDENDUM #2

**REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS
FOR
DESIGN-BUILD SERVICES**



**New Medical Clinic
Pecos, TEXAS**

Submission Date:

**Monday, May 11, 2026 – 2:00 p.m.
(C.D.T.)**

Prepared By:

Luke Malish, Project Manager
Malish Development Group, LLC.
Phone: 281-900-2674
luke@malishgroup.net

ADDENDUM #2

Narrative of Changes

Addendum #2 does not contain any significant changes to the RFQ and is exclusively derived from questions received to this point from potential respondents to this RFQ. Given that the responses to these questions represent no significant changes to the RFQ, the Owner does not believe any time or dates modifications are necessary at this point. Please read the RFQ document and the attachments in their entirety, and carefully, prior to submitting any questions. Please review the questions below, and the owner responses to the questions, carefully, and in their entirety.

Question #1 – I am reviewing the RFQ for the Reeves Regional Health New Medical Clinic project in Pecos, Texas, RFQ No. 26-001, and wanted to ask if a copy of the mandatory pre-submission conference sign-in sheet or attendee list is available?

Response #1 – The mandatory pre-submission meeting attendee list will not be provided at this time. The attendee list will be provided with the published vendor rankings, after the contract has been awarded.

Question #2 – Will a Survey including CAD file of the previously performed boundary, topo, and survey be provided by the owner or will the design build team need to carry this scope?

Response #2 – The survey, including CAD file, which will include boundary and TOPO, will be provided by the owner. The current survey will undergo a re-plat, to join the 3 lots into 1, and that final re-plat survey will be conveyed to the contractually awarded Design Build team, once complete. Just to re-iterate, the costs of these surveys are paid for by the owner via a separate fund, and will not be deducted from the DBBL/CCL.

Question #3 – Attachment A, #15 and 16 (Page 29) of the RFQ references a covered pedestrian walkway and a pneumatic tube system extending from the medical office building to the hospital. Will the covered walkway be at grade or elevated (connecting the two buildings on the 2nd floor)? If at grade, will the walkway crossing the public street also be covered? Additional boundary, topo, and utility survey information on the hospital tract will also be needed within the limits of construction on the hospital tract. Will that survey be provided or will we need a surveyor on the D/B team to perform that survey work?

Response #3 – The covered walkway will be at grade level and **will not** extend over the public street. (Schmidt Drive) The pneumatic tube system will be installed under the public street, pending final easement approval from the City of Pecos, that is currently in progress between the Owner and the City. The surveys necessary for the Hospital scope of work, will be completed by the owner over the next few months, and will be conveyed to the awarded Design Build team.

Question #4 – The proposed development is comprised of 3 previously platted lots. Typically, a Subdivision Replat would be required to replat the three lots into 1 lot. Can you confirm that a Replat will be required? If so, will the Replat be performed by the D/B team or by the owner?

Response #4 – The replat will be performed by the owner over next few months, once complete, this survey, which will contain both boundary and TOPO information, will be conveyed to the awarded Design Build team.

Question #5 – Can stormwater from roof downspouts be released at grade or will they be required to drain underground?

Response #5 – Please refer to item 7.6 of Attachment C (Geotechnical Report) for the drainage recommendations. Please note that while the report indicates that downspouts out falling at grade onto splash blocks, is acceptable, given that there's proper drainage away from the foundations, it is generally more desirable aesthetically to drain underground. If the awarded Design Build firm elects to surface drain the downspouts, then the function and aesthetics should be accounted for by both the Civil and Landscape Designer of record.

Question #6 – Will proposed medical clinic building require gas service?

Response #6 – Gas service to the building is desired, specifically gas heat for the HVAC system vs. electric, however, it is subject to the availability of gas utility supply to the site. The Owner is in contact with the gas utility company in the area, but does not have agreements in place for gas service, at the current moment.

Question #7 – Given the extensive nature of the required team members for a comprehensive design-build submittal, a 50-page limit may prove insufficient to adequately present all necessary qualifications. We respectfully request that the resumes be excluded from the page count.

Response #7 – Resumes will be excluded from the 50 page limit.

Question #8 – Was an MEP or Civil engineer engaged for the forthcoming bridging documents?

Response #8 – Yes, Cleary Zimmerman Engineers as the initial design consultant for MEP matters, and Kimley-Horn as the initial design consultant for Civil matters.